

Correction for RC condition in Penta (2012, Ecta)

(C): for each i , $(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i})$ and a , there exists $(\theta_0^a, \theta_{-i}^a)$ such that: For each $j \neq i$, a_j is dominant at $(\theta_0^a, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}^a)$, and $u_i(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}) = u_i(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}^a)$.

Part (i) of the richness condition reads as follows:

(RC-i) $\forall s \in S, \exists \theta^s = (\theta_0^s, \theta_i^s, \theta_{-i}^s) : \forall i, s_i$ is conditionally dominant at θ^s .

The condition should be adjusted adding ' $\forall (\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i})$ ' at the beginning, and ' $u_i(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}) = u_i(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}^s)$ ' at the end. That is, it should read as follows:

(RC'-i) $\forall (\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}), \forall s, \exists \theta^s = (\theta_0^s, \theta_i^s, \theta_{-i}^s) : \forall i, s_i$ is conditionally dominant at θ^s , and $u_i(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}) = u_i(\theta_0, \theta_i, \theta_{-i}^s)$.